# Hypothetical Illinois K-12 Funding Model: Eliminating Property Tax Dependence

#### Total Estimated K-12 Budget (Current State + Local

**Contribution):** ~25billion\*(Assumption:Illinoiscurrentlyspends 25billion\*(Assumption:Illinoi scurrentlyspends 15K/student, with ~\$9.9B from the state and the rest from local taxes/federal funds. This model replaces local property taxes entirely with state-controlled alternatives.)\*

#### **Proposed Alternative Funding Sources & Estimated Contributions**

1. Progressive Income Tax Surcharge  2. Sales Tax Expansion (Services & \$3B\$  Extend sales tax to digital services, luxury goods.  3. Corporate Tax Reform (Closing Loopholes)  \$2B\$  Eliminate offshore tax shelters, increase rates on large corporations.  4. Statewide Education Surcharge on Gambling  \$1B\$  \$5% additional tax on casinos, sports betting, lottery profits.  5. Carbon Tax/Green Fees  \$1.5B\$  Fees on industrial emissions, fossil fuel extraction.  6. Cannabis Revenue Allocation  \$500M\$  Dedicate 25% of cannabis tax revenue to schools.  7. "Millionaire's Exit Tax" (Wealth Migration Fee)  \$2B\$  Low-interest bonds for capital projects, repaid via future revenues.  9. Public Land Leases (Solar/Wind Farms)	<b>Funding Source</b>	Estimated Annual Contribution	<b>Key Considerations</b>
### Solution   Solutio	9	\$5B	Additional 1–3% tax on incomes >\$250K.
Loopholes)\$2Bon large corporations.4. Statewide Education Surcharge on Gambling\$1B5% additional tax on casinos, sports betting, lottery profits.5. Carbon Tax/Green Fees\$1.5BFees on industrial emissions, fossil fuel extraction.6. Cannabis Revenue Allocation\$500MDedicate 25% of cannabis tax revenue to schools.7. "Millionaire's Exit Tax" (Wealth Migration Fee)\$300M1% tax on net worth >\$5M for residents who leave IL.8. State-Run Municipal Bonds (Education Bonds)\$2BLow-interest bonds for capital projects, repaid via future revenues.9. Public Land Leases (Solar/Wind)\$200MLease state-owned land for renewable energy		\$3B	
on Gambling\$1Blottery profits.5. Carbon Tax/Green Fees\$1.5BFees on industrial emissions, fossil fuel extraction.6. Cannabis Revenue Allocation\$500MDedicate 25% of cannabis tax revenue to schools.7. "Millionaire's Exit Tax" (Wealth Migration Fee)\$300M1% tax on net worth >\$5M for residents who leave IL.8. State-Run Municipal Bonds (Education Bonds)\$2BLow-interest bonds for capital projects, repaid via future revenues.9. Public Land Leases (Solar/Wind)\$200MLease state-owned land for renewable energy	` `	\$2B	
s. Carbon Tax/Green Fees  \$1.3B  extraction.  6. Cannabis Revenue Allocation  \$500M  Dedicate 25% of cannabis tax revenue to schools.  7. "Millionaire's Exit Tax" (Wealth Migration Fee)  \$300M  Low-interest bonds for capital projects, repaid via future revenues.  9. Public Land Leases (Solar/Wind)  \$200M  Lease state-owned land for renewable energy	9	\$1B	
6. Cannabis Revenue Allocation \$500M schools.  7. "Millionaire's Exit Tax" (Wealth Migration Fee) \$300M 1% tax on net worth >\$5M for residents who leave IL.  8. State-Run Municipal Bonds (Education Bonds) \$2B Low-interest bonds for capital projects, repaid via future revenues.  9. Public Land Leases (Solar/Wind \$200M Lease state-owned land for renewable energy	5. Carbon Tax/Green Fees	\$1.5B	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Migration Fee)  8. State-Run Municipal Bonds (Education Bonds)  \$2B  Low-interest bonds for capital projects, repaid via future revenues.  9. Public Land Leases (Solar/Wind \$200M)  Lease state-owned land for renewable energy	6. Cannabis Revenue Allocation	\$500M	
(Education Bonds)  repaid via future revenues.  9. Public Land Leases (Solar/Wind \$200M Lease state-owned land for renewable energy	· ·	\$300M	
\$7000	-	\$2B	
Table 1	9. Public Land Leases (Solar/Wind Farms)	\$200M	Lease state-owned land for renewable energy projects.

Estimated Annual Contribution	<b>Key Considerations</b>
\$1B	Replace gas tax with per-mile fee for road funding, divert 20% to schools.
\$500M	Invest oil/gas revenues or other windfalls into a long-term education fund.
\$1B	Aggressive pursuit of Title I, IDEA, and infrastructure grants.
\$100M	Allow ethical corporate sponsorships for school programs.
\$1B	Redirect pension savings into classroom funding.
\$500M	5% tax on digital ad revenue from companies like Google/Meta.
	\$1B \$500M \$1B \$100M \$1B

Total Estimated Replacement Revenue:  $\sim 19.5 B*(Coversthe\ 19.5B*(Coversthe\ 9.9B\ state\ contribution\ +\ replaces\ \sim \$10B$  in local property taxes.)\*

## Key Advantages of This Model

- 1. Equity: Eliminates disparities between wealthy and poor districts.
- 2. **Stability:** Reduces reliance on volatile property markets.
- 3. **Progressive Revenue:** Shifts burden to high-income earners and corporations.
- 4. Innovation: Taps into emerging revenue streams (carbon taxes, digital ads).

## **Potential Challenges**

- Political Feasibility: Requires legislative support for tax reforms.
- Economic Impact: Corporate tax hikes could face opposition.
- Implementation: Some taxes (e.g., VMT, wealth tax) require new infrastructure.

#### Breakdown of Funding by Category

<b>Estimated Share of Budget</b>
30% (\$5.8B)
25% (\$4.8B)
20% (\$3.8B)
15% (\$2.9B)
10% (\$1.9B)

## **Comparison to Other States**

- Hawaii: Fully state-funded, but lacks progressive taxation.
- California: Heavy reliance on income taxes (Prop 98), but still uses some local property taxes.
- Michigan/Indiana: Hybrid models with state sales/income taxes supplementing local funds.

Illinois' Advantage: A diversified revenue portfolio could make funding more stable and equitable than any of these states.

### Next Steps for Implementation

- 1. Phase out property taxes over 5–10 years.
- 2. Pilot regional taxation models (e.g., Chicago metro vs. rural adjustments).
- 3. Constitutional amendments (if needed) to enable wealth/carbon taxes.